

FIGHT FOR MONEY FOR JAMESTOWN

Appropriation Declared by Maynard to Be Absolutely Beyond Question Now.

LOSSES FROM FIRES IN U. S.

Constitutionality of Section of Rate Bill as Agreed Upon Questioned.

(From Our Regular Correspondent.)
WASHINGTON, D. C., June 25.—Serious doubt has arisen as to the constitutionality of a section of the rate bill as agreed on in conference. The section referred to provides that passes shall not issue to officers of the United States government, which is all right, but goes further and provides that State, county, municipal, and even township officers shall not ride on passes. Of course, a Federal law cannot regulate the granting of passes to the last named classes of officers, except as regards riding on interstate roads. But the amendment does not make this distinction. Senator Martin said to-day he thought the amendment eminently wise, and an excellent solution of the matter, but he feared the failure to state that reference was had to interstate passes might affect the constitutionality of the bill.

Jamestown Bill.

The fate of the Jamestown appropriation, which is provided for in the amendment attached to the sundry civil bill by the Senate, is declared by Mr. Maynard to be absolutely beyond question now, and he says he feels that it is as good as voted. The conferees of the two houses are considering the bill to-day, after spending several hours upon it yesterday. It is understood they will report to-morrow to the House. The bill does not agree to the Senate amendment appropriating \$1,450,000 to the exposition.

Representative Maynard will move that the House recede from its position and accept the Senate amendment. On that resolution Mr. Maynard says he is absolutely positive that the decided majority will vote with him. This will give the appropriation to the exposition. It is understood that Representative Gardner, of Massachusetts, the chairman of the House Committee on Expositions, who is bitterly opposed to the appropriation's being made, will endeavor to defeat the resolution, but he will not succeed, according to Mr. Maynard and others, who have counted noses. Senator Martin said to-day that while he had no doubt as to the constitutionality of the appropriation in the House, he believed the exposition appropriation was safe. If it should fall, the advocates of the appropriation would have good cause to feel surprised and acute disappointment.

Heavy Losses.

An attaché of the geological survey in conversation to-day was discussing the heavy losses in this country in the past few years. He stated that in the past few years it was noted at the time of the recent fire and earthquake horrors in San Francisco, certain British papers took occasion to warn British insurance companies against investments in America, "that land of sudden calamities."

Americans have for so long been accustomed to look upon themselves as a chosen people that this foreign point of view seems to them almost like sacrilege. Certainly, however, the records would seem to point to a depressing array of figures representing loss of life and property through earthquake, fire, flood, accidents on railroads, bridges, mines, tunnels, in factories, apartment houses, and all manner of lofty and heavy structures.

"The plain lesson of these casualties, in many cases," said the geological survey man "is that the American people with all their genius and versatility in achieving new effects and compassing new ends, are not thoroughly familiar with the proper use and limitations of the building materials they employ."

Annual Fire Loss.

"During the past five years," he continued, "the annual fire loss in the United States has been \$2.50 per capita, while the loss in the larger European countries has been .35 per capita. During the last ten years the total fire losses in the United States amounted to \$1,250,000,000. The insurance companies paid during this period \$37,000,000, and it is reasonable to assume that the premiums paid out by the people of the country for the same length of time for protection against fire exceeded the losses sustained."

"The amount of money spent by the people, individually and collectively, in rearing structures of doubtful value, is enormous. The government is now expending \$20,000,000 and the country \$1,000,000,000 yearly in building and construction work generally. Judged by the lessons of the last decade, much of this sum will go up in smoke or collapse in indescribable ruin before all of us are dead."

"Two things are needed: Revision of the building laws, and accurate knowledge concerning the strength, fire-resistance, and durability of building materials."



Cravats.

If you are not cool, LOOK IT. Summer Neckwear will make the mercury sink and reduce the temperature of your appearance. Our assortment of Stylish Cravats are the thing, and they are priced at figures that will please you.

Norman F. Short Co.,
Exclusive Haberdashers and Hatters
812 E. Main St.

Money Bringers

are Times-Dispatch Want Ads. They never fail to do the work. They labor for a small salary—only a cent a word for each time they visit 100,000 eyes.

Everybody Reads Times-Dispatch Want Ads.

AMERICA HAVEN FOR PERSECUTED JEWS

Amendment to Immigration Bill Adopted Unanimously by House—Atrocities in Russia Strongly Denounced by Members.

(By Associated Press.)
WASHINGTON, D. C., June 25.—The recent atrocities in Russia, resulting in the killing of many Jews, and the murder at Kishineff, came in for strong and vigorous speeches in the House to-day on the part of Mr. Littauer (New York) and Mr. Goldfogle (New York). Mr. Littauer offered the following amendment to the immigration bill, which was adopted without division:

An immigrant who proves that he is seeking admission to this country solely to avoid prosecution or punishment on religious or political grounds, for an offense of a political character or persecution involving danger of imprisonment or danger to life or limb on account of religious belief, shall not be deported because of want of means or the probability of his being unable to earn a livelihood."

Mr. Littauer spoke sympathetically of the political refugees, who, driven from home, seek this country because of their belief, almost amounting to a fetish, that here religious persecution is unknown. In supporting the amendment, Mr. Goldfogle said he favored even a broader provision, which would exempt the persecuted refugees from the educational qualification test. America, he said, had always been regarded as the haven to which, if the immigrant was worthy, he could come to escape from the tyranny, race intolerance and oppression to which he had been subjected in his native land. Now that the streets of Russia ran red with blood of the victims of persecution and the civilization of the world had been "shamed" by the wholesale slaughter of inoffensive men, women and children, America ought not to close the doors to those who are fleeing to this country for protection.

The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$300,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$300,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$300,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$300,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$300,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$300,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$300,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

VIRGINIA TO GET \$393,000

Provides for New Postoffices at Manchester, Winchester, Fredericksburg and Portsmouth.

RICHMOND LEFT OUT AGAIN

Martin Will Make Effort to Have Measure Amended When It Reaches Senate.

(From Our Regular Correspondent.)
WASHINGTON, D. C., June 25.—The omnibus public building bill, long looked for, and so greatly delayed as to almost destroy hope of its ever appearing, was reported to the House to-day by Chairman Knickerbocker. The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

The bill may or may not pass at this session. There is a very good chance of its getting through the House and of the Senate allowing it to go over until next winter.

Money for Virginia.
The bill provides for a total appropriation of \$393,000 for the improvement of public buildings, and the erection of new buildings in Virginia, distributed as follows:

For new buildings—Manchester, \$50,000; Winchester, \$50,000; Fredericksburg, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000, including \$5,000 for additional land. For repairs to present Federal buildings, Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

How Executive's Measures Fared.

WHAT ROOSEVELT GETS.
Look type of canal at Panama.
Pure food bill (if Senate consents).
Non-immunity bill for trustees.
\$25,000 traveling expenses.
Employees' leave by B. F. Barnes.
Confirmation of B. F. Barnes.
Railroad rate bill, but with court review.
WHAT ROOSEVELT LOSES.
Plan to force Arizona and New Mexico to statehood.
Philippine tariff.
Santo Domingo treaty.
Modification of Chinese exclusion.
Federal control of insurance.
Twenty thousand ton battleship now.
Payment of meat inspection by packers.
Law to prevent election corruption.

FEDERAL GUNS TO TRANNO CASSATT

Government Lawyers Plan to Make Him Central Figure in Rebate Fight.

(Special to The Times-Dispatch.)
PHILADELPHIA, Pa., June 25.—When the government again turns its guns upon the Pennsylvania Railroad and the Standard Oil, Philadelphia is to be the scene of the battle. Arrangements have been made for making that United States District Attorney Thompson will conduct the cases. This was settled at a conference held in New York between Charles E. Hughes, of New York, and Alexander Simpson, Jr., of this city.

Above all other questions now under consideration is that of the availability of individual proceedings against President A. J. Cassatt, of the Pennsylvania Railroad. It is believed that more good can be accomplished by centering the prosecution upon Mr. Cassatt than by scattering their energies over prosecutions of the presidents of all railroads concerned, most of which are directly or indirectly controlled by the Pennsylvania Railroad.

The lawyers are specially appointed to report upon prosecutions. It is understood that they have the consent of the government to associate with themselves other counsel as they see fit, and when the first of the prosecutions is called on, William A. Glasgow, Jr., who has conducted the probing for the Interstate Commerce Commission, will be found ranked with the prosecution.

District Attorney Thompson has been advised by the Attorney-General to prepare a report on the Pennsylvania Railroad and the Standard Oil, and the Pennsylvania Railroad lawyers are working night and day making plans for a defense from what the revelations already made show will be the strongest anti-trust attack ever made in American courts.

Other Buildings.
The bill probably will be called up for action in the House to-morrow. Many of the appropriations are for additions and repairs. Among the other buildings authorized, together with the amounts to be expended, are as follows:

Alabama—Montgomery, \$12,000; Selma, \$50,000; Bessemer, \$50,000; Florence, \$50,000; Tuscaloosa, \$15,000; Dothan, \$50,000; Demopolis, \$40,000; Gadsden, \$10,000; Troy, \$5,000.

Florida—Gainesville, \$25,000; Ocala, \$5,000.

Georgia—Gainesville, \$45,000; Valdosta, \$12,000; Albany, \$15,000; Americus, \$5,000; Adkins, \$10,000.

Mississippi—Jackson, \$40,000; Columbus, \$40,000; Greenville, \$50,000; Gulfport, \$100,000; Yazoo City, \$40,000; Hattiesburg, \$15,000; West Point, \$5,000.

South Carolina—Anderson, \$50,000; Chester, \$55,000; Greenville, \$50,000; Sumter, \$50,000; Aiken, \$10,000.

Tennessee—Chattanooga, \$50,000; Knoxville, \$12,000; Columbia, \$5,000; Johnson City, \$50,000; Murfreesboro, \$30,000; Cleveland, \$5,000; Paris, \$10,000.

Virginia—Richmond, \$50,000; Portsmouth, \$50,000; Norfolk, \$50,000; Petersburg, \$50,000; Roanoke, \$75,000.

Washington—Seattle, \$50,000; Tacoma, \$50,000; Everett, \$50,000; Spokane, \$50,000; Portland, \$50,000; Olympia, \$50,000; Vancouver, \$50,000; Everett, \$50,000; Spokane, \$50,000; Portland, \$50,000; Olympia, \$50,000; Vancouver, \$50,000.

West Virginia—Martinsburg, \$50,000; Charleston, \$50,000; Parkersburg, \$50,000; Wheeling, \$50,000; Morgantown, \$50,000; Fairmont, \$50,000; Huntington, \$50,000; Mingo Junction, \$50,000; New Martinsville, \$50,000; Parkersburg, \$50,000; Wheeling, \$50,000; Morgantown, \$50,000; Fairmont, \$50,000; Huntington, \$50,000; Mingo Junction, \$50,000; New Martinsville, \$50,000.

Wisconsin—Milwaukee, \$50,000; Madison, \$50,000; Janesville, \$50,000; Oshkosh, \$50,000; Port Washington, \$50,000; Racine, \$50,000; Sheboygan, \$50,000; Wausau, \$50,000; Green Bay, \$50,000; Appleton, \$50,000; Kaukauna, \$50,000; Menasha, \$50,000; Neenah, \$50,000; Shawano, \$50,000; Waupun, \$50,000; Cross Lanes, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Verona, \$50,000; Watrous, \$50,000; Wisconsin Dells, \$50,000; Barab, \$50,000; Beaver Dam, \$50,000; Beloit, \$50,000; Burlington, \$50,000; De Pere, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Janesville, \$50,000; Kaukauna, \$50,000; Menasha, \$50,000; Neenah, \$50,000; Oshkosh, \$50,000; Port Washington, \$50,000; Racine, \$50,000; Sheboygan, \$50,000; Shawano, \$50,000; Waupun, \$50,000; Cross Lanes, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Verona, \$50,000; Watrous, \$50,000; Wisconsin Dells, \$50,000; Barab, \$50,000; Beaver Dam, \$50,000; Beloit, \$50,000; Burlington, \$50,000; De Pere, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Janesville, \$50,000; Kaukauna, \$50,000; Menasha, \$50,000; Neenah, \$50,000; Oshkosh, \$50,000; Port Washington, \$50,000; Racine, \$50,000; Sheboygan, \$50,000; Shawano, \$50,000; Waupun, \$50,000; Cross Lanes, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Verona, \$50,000; Watrous, \$50,000; Wisconsin Dells, \$50,000; Barab, \$50,000; Beaver Dam, \$50,000; Beloit, \$50,000; Burlington, \$50,000; De Pere, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Janesville, \$50,000; Kaukauna, \$50,000; Menasha, \$50,000; Neenah, \$50,000; Oshkosh, \$50,000; Port Washington, \$50,000; Racine, \$50,000; Sheboygan, \$50,000; Shawano, \$50,000; Waupun, \$50,000; Cross Lanes, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Verona, \$50,000; Watrous, \$50,000; Wisconsin Dells, \$50,000; Barab, \$50,000; Beaver Dam, \$50,000; Beloit, \$50,000; Burlington, \$50,000; De Pere, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Janesville, \$50,000; Kaukauna, \$50,000; Menasha, \$50,000; Neenah, \$50,000; Oshkosh, \$50,000; Port Washington, \$50,000; Racine, \$50,000; Sheboygan, \$50,000; Shawano, \$50,000; Waupun, \$50,000; Cross Lanes, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Verona, \$50,000; Watrous, \$50,000; Wisconsin Dells, \$50,000; Barab, \$50,000; Beaver Dam, \$50,000; Beloit, \$50,000; Burlington, \$50,000; De Pere, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Janesville, \$50,000; Kaukauna, \$50,000; Menasha, \$50,000; Neenah, \$50,000; Oshkosh, \$50,000; Port Washington, \$50,000; Racine, \$50,000; Sheboygan, \$50,000; Shawano, \$50,000; Waupun, \$50,000; Cross Lanes, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Verona, \$50,000; Watrous, \$50,000; Wisconsin Dells, \$50,000; Barab, \$50,000; Beaver Dam, \$50,000; Beloit, \$50,000; Burlington, \$50,000; De Pere, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Janesville, \$50,000; Kaukauna, \$50,000; Menasha, \$50,000; Neenah, \$50,000; Oshkosh, \$50,000; Port Washington, \$50,000; Racine, \$50,000; Sheboygan, \$50,000; Shawano, \$50,000; Waupun, \$50,000; Cross Lanes, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Verona, \$50,000; Watrous, \$50,000; Wisconsin Dells, \$50,000; Barab, \$50,000; Beaver Dam, \$50,000; Beloit, \$50,000; Burlington, \$50,000; De Pere, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Janesville, \$50,000; Kaukauna, \$50,000; Menasha, \$50,000; Neenah, \$50,000; Oshkosh, \$50,000; Port Washington, \$50,000; Racine, \$50,000; Sheboygan, \$50,000; Shawano, \$50,000; Waupun, \$50,000; Cross Lanes, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Verona, \$50,000; Watrous, \$50,000; Wisconsin Dells, \$50,000; Barab, \$50,000; Beaver Dam, \$50,000; Beloit, \$50,000; Burlington, \$50,000; De Pere, \$50,000; Dodgeville, \$50,000; Janesville, \$50,000; Kaukauna, \$50,000; Menasha, \$50,000; Neenah, \$50,000; Oshkosh, \$50,000; Port Washington, \$50,000; Racine, \$50,000; Sheboygan, \$50,000; Shawano, \$50,000;